

REMARKS

The claims have been revised by canceling all of the rejected claims. That is, Claims 66 - 98, 115 - 124, 129, 130, and 139 - 154 have been canceled. Objected-to Claims 99 - 114 have also been canceled. Only allowed Claims 1 - 4, 6 - 40, 42, 44, 46, 47, 49 - 52, 54 - 59, 125 - 128, and 131 - 138 remain pending. Inasmuch as only allowed claims are pending, the application is now allowable.

The Office Action Summary on the first page of the 15 September 2004 Office Action specifies that Claims 127 and 128 have been allowed. Section 9 on page 15 of the 15 September 2004 Office Action confirms the Office Action Summary in specifying that Claims 127 and 128 are allowed. However, on page 2 of the 15 September 2004 Office Action, the first paragraph of section 3 seems to indicate that Claim 128 has been rejected on prior art grounds. In the last paragraph on page 7 of the 15 September 2004 Office Action, section 3 seems to provide a rationale for rejecting Claim 128, along with Claim 127, on prior art grounds. Since the 15 September 2004 Office Action clearly provides that Claims 127 and 128 have been allowed, the indications to the contrary on pages 2 and 7 of the 15 September 2004 Office Action are erroneous and are to be ignored.

The cancellation of the rejected claims has been done to expedite prosecution of this application and does not constitute a concession that any of the rejected claims is unpatentable for any of the reasons presented by the Examiner.

Please telephone Attorney for Applicant(s) at 650-964-9767 if there are any questions.

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO.:

EV 500 310 482 US

Respectfully submitted,

Ronald J. Meetin

Ronald J. Meetin
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 29,089

210 Central Avenue
Mountain View, CA 94043-4869